

Hornsea Project Four

Statement of Common Ground between Hornsea Project Four and Historic England

Deadline: 7, Date: 10 August 2022

Document Reference: G1.14

Revision: 04

Prepared Ørsted, August 2022

Checked Thomas Watts, Orsted, August 2022
Accepted David King, Orsted, August 2022
Approved Julian Carolan, Orsted, August 2022

G1.14 Ver D



Revision History

Date	Revision	Reason for issue	
20/08/2020	i	Initial draft for Historic England Review	
25/09/2020	ii	Updated draft issued to Historic England after SoCG meeting. Submitted again on 10/12/2021 to Historic England for comment.	
18/02/2022	iii	Updated following receipt of Historic England's comments on 25/01/2022 and issued alongside draft onshore Relevant Representation responses.	
08/03/2022	01	Submitted at Deadline 1 awaiting positions from Historic England.	
10/05/2022	02	Submitted at Deadline 4 with input from Historic England.	
04/07/2022	03	Submitted at Deadline 5a with input from Historic England.	
10/08/2022	04	Submitted at Deadline 7 as a signed and final version with no amended positions since Deadline 5a.	

Signatories

Signed	
Name	Dr Christopher Pater
Position	Head of Marine Planning
For	Historic England
Signed	
olynou	
Name	Dr Julian Carolan

Consents Manager

Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited

Position

For



Table of Contents

1	Intro	duction	5
	1.1	Reason for this document	5
	1.2	Approach to SoCG	5
	1.3	Application elements under Historic England's remit	6
	1.4	Overview of Hornsea Four	6
2	Agre	ement Logs	6
	2.1	Overview	6
3	Onsh	ore Historic Environment	7
	3.1	Summary of consultation with Historic England	7
	3.2	Onshore Agreement Log	9
4	Marir	ne Archaeology	14
	4.1	Summary of consultation with Historic England	14
	4.2	Offshore Agreement Log	16
L	ist	of Tables	
Та	ble 1: S	Summary of pre-application consultation with Historic England	7
Та	ble 2: A	Agreement Log: Onshore Historic Environment	10
		Summary of pre-application consultation with Historic England	
Ιa	ble 4: A	Aareement Loa: Marine Archaeoloay.	



Glossary

Term	Definition
Development Consent	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent
Order (DCO)	for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).
Hornsea Project Four	The term covers all elements of the project (i.e. both the offshore and
Offshore Wind Farm	onshore). Hornsea Four infrastructure will include offshore generating
	stations (wind turbines), electrical export cables to landfall, and connection
	to the electricity transmission network. Hereafter referred to as Hornsea
	Four.
Likely Significant Effect	Terminology used within the Town and Country Planning (Environmental
	Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 in relation to what is required to be
	described within Environmental Statements.
Orsted Hornsea Project Four	The Applicant for the proposed Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm
Ltd	Development Consent Order (DCO).

Acronyms

Acronym	Definition	
DMLs	Deemed Marine Licences	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
ES	Environmental Statement	
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current	
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current	
LSE	Likely Significant Effect	
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs	
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs	
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report	
PINS	The Planning Inspectorate	
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground	
WSI	Written Scheme of Investigation	



1 Introduction

1.1 Reason for this document

- 1.1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited ('the Applicant') and Historic England to set out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the two parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Hornsea Project Four offshore wind farm (hereafter referred to as 'Hornsea Four').
- 1.1.1.2 This SoCG covers the topics of the Onshore Historic Environment (Section 3) and Marine Archaeology (Section 4). The Onshore Historic Environment comprises each relevant component of the application landward of Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) and Marine Archaeology comprises each relevant component of the application seaward of MHWS.
- 1.1.1.3 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and Historic England is set out within the Rule 6 letter issued by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) on 24 January 2022¹.
- 1.1.1.4 Following detailed discussions undertaken through the Evidence Plan Process, the Applicant and Historic England have sought to progress a SoCG. It is the intention that this document will provide PINS with a clear overview of the level of common ground between both parties. This document will facilitate further discussions between the Applicant and Historic England and the SoCG will be updated as discussions progress prior to and during the project examination.

1.2 Approach to SoCG

- 1.2.1.1 The Applicant took the decision at an early stage to adopt a proportionate approach to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for Hornsea Four which is detailed and integrated throughout the application for development consent. The Impacts Register (Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049)) is a key tool that details all potential impacts identified for Hornsea Four and sets the scope of the EIA at various stages of the project (Scoping, Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) and DCO). In line with the Applicant's approach to proportionality, only Likely Significant Effects (LSE) are included within the individual topic assessments of the Environmental Statement (ES).
- 1.2.1.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
 - Section 1: Introduction;
 - Section 3: Onshore Historic Environment;
 - Section 3.1: Summary of consultation with Historic England;
 - Section 3.2: Agreement Log;
 - Section 4: Marine Archaeology;
 - o Section 4.1: Summary of consultation with Historic England;
 - Section 4.2: Agreement Log.

¹ EN010098-000901-Hornsea 4 Rule 6 letter.pdf (planninginspectorate.gov.uk)



1.3 Application elements under Historic England's remit

- 1.3.1.1 The Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England (Historic England) is a statutory consultee in relation to the historic environment, the lead body for the heritage sector and the Government's principal adviser on the historic environment.
- 1.3.1.2 The elements of Hornsea Four which may affect the interests of Historic England are Work Numbers 1 to 10, covering both onshore and offshore works. These are detailed in Part 1 (Authorised Development) of Schedule 1 (Authorised Project) of the draft DCO (Volume C1.1: Draft DCO).

1.4 Overview of Hornsea Four

- 1.4.1.1 Hornsea Four is an offshore wind farm which will be located approximately 65 km offshore the East Riding of Yorkshire in the Southern North Sea and will be the fourth project to be developed in the former Hornsea Zone. Hornsea Four will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure and consists of:
 - **Hornsea Four array area:** This is where the offshore wind generating station will be located which will include the turbines, array cables, offshore accommodation platforms and a range of offshore substations as well as offshore interconnector cables and export cables;
 - Hornsea Four offshore export cable corridor: This is where the permanent offshore electrical infrastructure (offshore export cables, as well as the High Voltage Alternating Current (HVAC) booster station (if required), will be located;
 - Hornsea Four intertidal area: This is the area between MHWS and Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS) through which all of the offshore export cables will be installed;
 - **Hornsea Four onshore export cable corridor:** This is where the permanent onshore electrical cable infrastructure will be located; and
 - Hornsea Four onshore substation including energy balancing infrastructure: This is
 where the permanent onshore electrical substation infrastructure (onshore High
 Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) converter/HVAC substation, energy balancing
 infrastructure and connections to the National Grid) will be located.

2 Agreement Logs

2.1 Overview

- 2.1.1.1 The following sections of this SoCG set out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in paragraph 1.3.1.1).
- 2.1.1.2 In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed', 'not agreed' or an 'ongoing point of discussion', the colour coding system set out in **Table 1** below is used within the 'position' column of the following sections of this document.



Table 1: Position Status Key.

Position Status	Position Colour Coding
Agreed	Agreed
The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties .	
Not Agreed – no material impact	Not Agreed – no material impact
The matter is not agreed between the parties, however the outcome of the	
approach taken by either the Applicant or Historic England is not considered	
to result in a material impact to the assessment conclusions.	
Not Agreed – material impact	Not Agreed – material impact
The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the	
approach taken by either the Applicant or Historic England is considered to	
result in a materially different impact to the assessment conclusions.	
Ongoing point of discussion	Ongoing point of discussion
The matter is neither 'agreed' nor 'not agreed' and is a matter where further	
discussion is required between the parties (e.g where documents are yet to	
be shared with Historic England).	

3 Onshore Historic Environment

3.1 Summary of consultation with Historic England

3.1.1.1 Table 2 below summarises the consultation that the Applicant has undertaken with Historic England during the pre-application phase for each relevant component of the application (as identified in paragraph 1.3.1.1) landward of MHWS.

Table 2: Summary of pre-application consultation with Historic England.

Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
11/09/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Four - Historic Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #1 Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four, the consenting programme, evidence plan process and the proportionate approach to EIA. An overview of historic environment work undertaken to date was provided, including scoping and approach to baseline. Assessment methodology, route
			planning and site selection was also discussed.
15/10/2018	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Four Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Report
12/11/2018	Consultation response	Statutory	Scoping Opinion —Consultation Response from Historic England Providing comments on the Scoping Report.
16/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Four - Historic Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #2



Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
			Meeting to provide Hornsea Four update, recap of the EIA scoping report and approach to EIA proportionality. Route planning and site selection was discussed in relation to historic environment assets. Scoping opinions received were discussed, and necessary next steps, including survey and assessment work. Confirmation of the approach to assessment in respect of temporary logistics compounds, onshore substation ZTVs, and non-designated assets. Discussion regarding the WWII defences within the landfall search area in addition to effects on below-ground assets
17/04/2019 to 17/06/2019	Email correspondence	Non statutory	from changes to drainage patterns. Due to Historic England's absence during the third historic Environment Technical Panel, emails were exchanged regarding the position paper and slide deck. Discussions included PEIR assessment scope and survey land access.
06/06/2019	Meeting	Non-Statutory	Hornsea Four – Project Update Meeting The purpose of this meeting was to discuss how to improve engagement between Historic England and Hornsea Four, provide further detail regarding the archaeological programme of works, including timings, and how proportionality is being applied in practical terms.
13/08/2019	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Four PEIR
20/09/2019	Consultation response	Statutory	Published for statutory Section 42 consultation. Historic England letter response to PEIR Providing comments on the PEIR.
14/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Four Historic Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #4 Meeting to provide a Hornsea Four update and updates on ongoing baseline surveys. Section 42 comments received were discussed (including the provision of necessary further information or evidence, and /or the Applicant's proposed response). Consensus was sought on the proposed approach to ES (impacts to be covered in detail in the ES chapter) and what additional evidence or information is required.
11/02/2020	Email correspondence	Non statutory	Email correspondence regarding the Minutes of Evidence Plan Technical meeting #4, and methodology for the approach to assessment of 'indirect impacts'.
13/03/2020 to 27/04/2020	Draft Document	Non Statutory	Draft Outline Onshore Written Scheme of investigation Draft document provided for review and comment.



Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
			regarding comments and responses. A memo was prepared by the Applicant to respond to comments raised by Historic England on the draft WSI.
25/11/2020	Draft Documents	Non Statutory	 Issue of draft documents for review and comment:: A3.5: Historic Environment ES Chapter; A6.5.1: Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment (A and B); A6.5.2: Aerial Photo and Lidar Report (A, B and C) A6.5.3: Priority Archaeological Geophysical Report; A6.5.4:Geoarchaeological DBA Report; F2.10 Outline Onshore Written Scheme of Investigation (accounting for comments previously provided); and Hornsea Four Impacts and Effects Register Historic Environment tab.
08/12/2020	Review Comments	Non Statutory	Historic England's review comments on draft documents issued on 25/11/2020.
26/05/2021 To 17/08/2021	Position Paper	Non Statutory	Notification of delayed DCO submission and issue of Baseline Validity Position paper, setting out approach to baseline for Historic Environment. Agreements obtained from Historic England on approach on 17/08/2021.

3.2 Onshore Agreement Log

3.2.1.1 Table 3 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for the Onshore Historic Environment, for each relevant component of the application (as identified in paragraph 1.3.1.1) landward of MHWS. In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed', 'not agreed' – no material impact', 'not agreed – material impact' or 'ongoing point of discussion', a colour coding system of green, orange, red and yellow is used respectively within the 'position' column.



Table 3: Agreement Log: Onshore Historic Environment.

ID	Hornsea Four's Position	Historic England's Position	Position Summary
	y and planning	Theorie angular of solution	, containing
G1.14:	Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029) has identified all relevant plans and policies and appropriate	Historic England consider that this is a matter that can only be determined by the Examination Authority.,	Not agreed – no material impact
1.1	consideration has been given to them in the assessment.	determined by the Examination Authority.,	materiat impact
EIA – Base	line Environment		
G1.14:	The ES provides sufficient information to characterise the areas	It is the judgement of Historic England that we do not identify any	Agreed
1.2	within which Hornsea Four will be located relevant to Historic Environment in Section 5.7, Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029) and accompanying technical annexes, to inform the EIA.	major issues with regard to harm to the significance of designated heritage places, or to nationally important but non-designated heritage places onshore. An appropriate works methodology to secure the protection of the Sanctuary Stone, Beverley (NHLE 1012589), and its setting during the works process has been developed. Additional visualisations have been submitted by the applicant which now follow best practice guidance on the assessment of setting in relation to the OnSS, Cottingham. The application of archaeological science techniques is in line with current best practice as identified in the published Historic England	
FIA – Asse	ssment Methodology	guidance.	
G1.14:	The study areas identified in Section 5.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029), are appropriate.	We consider that the study areas have been adequately identified and can be agreed.	Agreed
G1.14: 1.4	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each impact in Section 5.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 5:	The applicant has revised their approach to apply the published best practice guidance on the use and application of	Agreed
	Historic Environment (APP-029), and in the 'Historic Environment' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the maximum project parameters for assessment.	archaeological science techniques in line with our comments. The applicant has also devised an appropriate methodology to ensure the safety of the Sanctuary Stone, Beverley (NHLE 1012589), and its setting during the construction phase.	
G1.14: 1.5	The potential impacts identified in Table 5.6 and Section 5.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029), and in the 'Historic Environment' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts	. The applicant has now submitted a series of images following the current published best practice guidance on setting.	Agreed



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	Historic England's Position	Position Summary
	Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.		
G1.14:	The methodologies used in Section 5.10 of Volume A3, Chapter	The applicant has now submitted a series of images following the	Agreed
1.6	5: Historic Environment (APP-029) are appropriate for assessing	current published best practice guidance on setting.	
	the potential impacts of Hornsea Four.		
EIA – Ass	sessment Conclusions		
G1.14:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during	Historic England considers that this is appropriate for the	Agreed
1.7	subsequent correspondence with Historic England) for impacts	decommissioning phase.	
	HE-D-7, HE-D-8, HE-D-9 and HE-D-10 (all impacts during		
	decommissioning) resulted in these potential impacts being		
	'Scoped out' or 'not considered in detail' in the PEIR and ES. This is		
	appropriate.		
G1.14:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for impacts HE-	Historic England and the applicant disagree about the use of the	Not agreed. No
1.8	C-2, HE-C-4, HE-O-5 and HE-O-6 (all 'indirect' impacts) resulted in	word 'significance'. The applicant refers to 'likely significant effects	material impact
	these potential impacts being 'not considered in detail in the ES'	(LSE)' whereas Historic England considers, following the NPPF and	
	and are instead considered further in Volume A6, Annex 5.1:	Conservation Principles (Historic England 2008) that the key issue is	
	Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment (APP-116 and	the impact of a proposal on the significance of an asset. We accept	
	APP-117). This is appropriate.	that the use of LSE is an industry standard, but corporately and	
		regionally Historic England has always drawn attention to the	
	The use of the term significant with regards to impacts and	inconsistencies of use, the variations in the use of the term	
	effects in EIA is standard terminology and the use of LSE is as such	'significance', and use of 'significance of effect'	
	standard across the ES as a whole (regardless of topic).		
G1.14:	The assessment of potential effects on Historic Environment in	As above, G1.14:1.8.	Not agreed. No
1.9	Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029) is		material impact
	appropriate and proportionate and identifies the likely significant		
	effects from Hornsea Four.		
	The use of the term significant with regards to impacts and		
	effects in EIA is standard terminology and the use of LSE is as such		
	standard across the ES as a whole (regardless of topic).		



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	Historic England's Position	Position Summary
G1.14: 1.10	The conclusions of the CEA on Historic Environment presented in Section 5.12 and inter-related effects in Section 5.14 of Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029), are appropriate.	As above G1.14: 1.8 and G1.14:1.9.	Not agreed. No material impact
	The use of the term significant with regards to impacts and effects in EIA is standard terminology and the use of LSE is as such standard across the ES as a whole (regardless of topic).		
Draft DCC	O / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G1.14: 1.11	The measures described in F2.10: Outline Written Scheme of Investigation for Onshore Archaeology (APP-245) are appropriate and adequately mitigate likely significant effects identified in Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029).	The applicant has now revised their application of archaeological science as it should be applied at the stages of excavation and post excavation assessment and analysis. This revision is in line with our previous comments on this matter.	Agreed
G1.14: 1.12	Requirement 16 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)) is sufficient to secure the mitigation measures described in F2.10: Outline Written Scheme of Investigation for Onshore Archaeology (APP-245).	As above G1.14:1.11	Agreed
G1.14: 1.13	In addition to the onshore WSI (APP-245), the measures set out in F2.2: Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237) are appropriate and adequately mitigate likely significant effects identified in Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029) and in the 'Historic Environment' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049). This document will form the basis of the detailed CoCP secured under Requirement 17 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)).	The applicant has now produced an acceptable statement on the measures and arrangements for the protection of the significance of the Sanctuary Stone, Beverley, and its setting, during the construction process.	Agreed
G1.14:	The design of the OnSS, as presented in F2.13: Outline Design	The applicant has now submitted a number of visualisations which	Agreed.
1.14	Plan (APP-248) is considered appropriate and reflects good quality design standards for the onshore aboveground infrastructure.	better reflect the published guidance on setting and the assessment of setting	



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	Historic England's Position	Position Summary
G1.14:	The measures set out in F2.8: Outline Landscape Management	HE agrees that the revised measures are appropriate, specifically	Agreed
1.15	Plan (APP-243) and F2.13: Outline Design Pan (APP-248) are	as they relate to the design and mitigation associated with the	
	appropriate and adequately mitigate effects identified in Volume	OnSS.	
	A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029) and Volume A6,		
	Annex 5.1: Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment (APP-		
	116 and APP-117), where possible.		



4 Marine Archaeology

4.1 Summary of consultation with Historic England

4.1.1.1 Table 4 below summarises the consultation that the Applicant has undertaken with the Historic England Marine Planning Unit responsible for the offshore zone during the preapplication phase for each relevant component of the application (as identified in paragraph 1.3.1.1) seaward of MHWS.

Table 4: Summary of pre-application consultation with Historic England.

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
08/10/2018	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Four Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Report Submitted to Historic England for consultation.
12/11/2018	Consultation	Statutory	Scoping Opinion Response from Historic England to Hornsea Four Scoping Report.
18/12/2018	Meeting	Non-Statutory	Hornsea Four — Marine Archaeology Evidence Plant Technical Panel meeting #1 Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four, the consenting programme, route planning and site selection and provide an overview of the Evidence Plan process. The meeting aimed to explain the proportionate approach that is being adopted for Hornsea Four and review responses received from Historic England during the Scoping process.
06/06/2019	Meeting	Non-Statutory	Hornsea Four – Project Update Meeting The purpose of this meeting was to discuss how to improve engagement between Historic England and Hornsea Four, provide further detail regarding the archaeological programme of works, including timings, and how proportionality is being applied in practical terms.
20/09/2019	Consultation	Statutory	Section 42 consultation response Historic England provided comment on the relevant PEIR documents and draft DCO/Deemed Marine Licences (DMLs).
13/11/2019	Meeting	Non-Statutory	Hornsea Four — Marine Archaeology Evidence Plant Technical Panel meeting #2 Meeting to discuss Historic England's Section 42 response and provide an update on the project, in particular changes made to the route position and site selection since the PEIR submission.
12/02/2020	Meeting	Non-Statutory	Historic England Workshop Workshop held between the Applicant and Historic England to illustrate the key interactions between site investigations and marine archaeology. Topics included



Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non	Summary
		Statutory	the ground model, archaeological interactions with geotechnical surveys and archaeological interpretation of geophysical surveys.
25/03/2020	Email to Historic England	Non-Statutory	Hornsea Four Method statement for geoarchaeological work Shared method statement for geotechnical core collection, retention, storage, and stage 1 and 2 geoarchaeological assessment with Historic England ahead of planned Geotechnical 1A survey campaign.
08/04/2020	Consultation	Non-Statutory	Hornsea Four — Updated Outline Marine Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) Updated Outline WSI, following PEIR submission and receipt of Section 42 responses, shared with Historic England for review.
15/04/2020	Letter via email from Historic England	Non-Statutory	Historic England response to Hornsea Four method statement for geoarchaeological work Historic England reviewed and provided comment on the collection, retention, and stage 1 and 2 analysis method statement.
05/05/2020	Letter via email from Historic England	Non-Statutory	Historic England response to updated Outline Marine WSI Historic England reviewed and provided comment on the Outline WSI, which had been updated following the PEIR submission and receipt of Historic England's Section 42 response.
12/05/2020	Meeting	Non-Statutory	Hornsea Four – Marine Archaeology Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #3 Meeting following Historic England's review of the Outline Marine WSI, to discuss how the Outline WSI will be updated to address Historic England's suggested changes.
29/01/2021	Consultation on draft DCO documents	Non-Statutory	Historic England confirmed that they were aware of the approach adopted by Hornsea Four, but that any formal comments will be subject to the appropriate baseline assessment and the securement of mitigation measures within a draft Commitments Register.
July 2019 – DCO Application submission	Regular offshore update meetings	Non-Statutory	Regular catch-up meetings to keep Historic England updated on how Hornsea Four is progressing, including ongoing surveys, marine archaeology deliverables and timescales for submission.



4.2 Offshore Agreement Log

4.2.1.1 Table 5 below sets out the level of agreement between the parties for Marine Archaeology, for each relevant component of the application (as identified in paragraph 1.3.1.1) seaward of MHWS. In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed', 'not agreed' – no material impact', 'not agreed – material impact or an 'ongoing point of discussion', a colour coding system of green, orange, red and yellow is used respectively within the 'position' column.



Table 5: Agreement Log: Marine Archaeology.

ID	Hornsea Four's Position	Historic England's Position	Position Summary
EIA – Polic	cy and Planning		
G1.14:	Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology has	Historic England consider that this is a matter that can only be determined by the	Not agreed – no
2.1	identified all relevant plans and policies and	Examination Authority.	material impact
	appropriate consideration has been given to them in		
	the assessment.		
Proportion	nate Approach		
G1.14:	Historic England insofar as an advisory body	It is not a matter for Historic England to 'object' to the decision made by the Applicant	Agreed
2.2	acknowledge Hornsea Four's proportionate approach	to define and apply an 'appropriate approach to the EIA'. It is the advisory role of	
	to the EIA, subject to the appropriate securement	Historic England to determine whether the Applicant has identified risks to either the	
	through the DCO and DMLs of the commitments and	known or unknown historic environment, as may exist within the proposed	
	mitigation measures that support any "scoped out"	development area. We acknowledge the viability of mitigation measures proposed	
	impacts (see Co46, Co140, Co166, Co167, Co181,	and how they can be delivered effectively. We acknowledge that the draft DCO	
	and Co201 Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments	includes measures to deliver mitigation, and that the accompanying 'commitments'	
	Register).	summarise how the mitigation measures are to be delivered.	
G1.14:	The Hornsea Four DCO Application considers Likely	Historic England has stated on a number of occasions that we disagree with the	Not agreed – no
2.3	Significant Effects (LSE), utilising terminology used	terminology used and interpretation of the concept of 'significance'. It is our view that	material impact
	within the Town and Country Planning (Environmental	proposed developments have the potential to cause harm to the significance of	
	Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 in relation to	heritage assets. The applicant takes the position that they are assessing the possibility	
	what is required to be described within Environmental	of a proposal having 'significant effects'. We acknowledge this difference in	
	Statements. Historic England note that in heritage	perspective as stated clearly by the Applicant.	
	terms, the key factor is the "impact on the significance		
	of heritage assets", not necessarily "significant effect".		
	It is agreed that these differences are not considered		
	to have a material impact to the assessment		
	conclusions.		
	Assessment		
G1.14:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping	The approach advocated by the Applicant is applicable to the characterisation	Not agreed – no
2.4	for impacts MA-C-1, MA-C-2, MA-C-3, MA-C-6, MA-D-	completed to date for this proposed development. We appreciate the	material impact
	10 resulted in these potential impacts being 'Scoped	acknowledgement by the Applicant that at the time of (draft) DCO submission for	
	out' of further assessment in the PEIR and ES. Based on	examination that there is an absence of complete geophysical survey data coverage	



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	Historic England's Position	Position Summar
	evidence from adjacent projects, embedded	and that geoarchaeological assessment was not completed. While it might be	
	mitigation is effective in reducing magnitude of impact	possible to draw on experience gained from other offshore wind farm development	
	to 'none' or 'negligible'. Full coverage high-resolution	projects, it remains the case that other adjacent projects included impact as could	
	geophysical survey will enable micro-siting of the	occur due to construction and therefore the necessary mitigation measures were	
	project design to ensure significant impacts do not	provided. We add that 'embedded' mitigation is only applicable to elements of the	
	occur. This is appropriate.	historic environment that might be of archaeological interest as are presently known	
		to exist. The effectiveness of any subsequent high-resolution surveys conducted post-	
		consent and pre-commencement will be the use of those data to inform adaptive	
		mitigation strategies (i.e. avoidance by micro-siting) for elements of the historic	
		environment that are presently unknown, so that the construction phase is	
		adequately informed and designed to avoid harmful impact.	
Commitm	ents		
G1.14:	Historic England agree with the wording and	We acknowledge the inclusion of a 'commitments register' that that they are	Agreed
2.5	appropriateness of commitments (Co46, Co140,	described as providing 'primary', 'secondary' and 'tertiary' mitigation measures. We	
	Co166, Co167, Co181, and Co201) that relate to	also acknowledged in our Written Representation that draft DML Schedule 15	
	marine archaeology and the mechanisms within which	(Documents to be certified) includes a 'commitments register'. We also acknowledge	
	they are secured within Volume C1, Chapter 1: Draft	that the Applicant has confirmed how the 'commitments registered' is delivered	
	DCO including Draft DML (see Volume A4, Annex 5.2:	through conditions included in the (draft) DMLs which provide for its delivery e.g. as	
	Commitments Register).	necessary to inform any construction phase and therefore enabled pre-	
		commencement.	
Impacts a	nd Effects Register		
G1.14:	The potential impacts identified in Table 9.8 and 9.10	We acknowledge the list of potential impacts produced and which reflect the	Not agreed – no
2.6	of Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology, and in	archaeological analysis and interpretation of survey data as was available prior to the	material impact
	the 'Marine Archaeology' tab of Volume A4, Annex	Applicant's DCO submission for examination. However, in accordance with our position	
	5.1: Impacts Register, represent a comprehensive list	at G1.14: 2.4 this is a matter not agreed, but of 'no material impact' given the	
	of the potential impacts.	mitigation measures stated.	
G1.14:	Historic England agree with the wording of impacts	We acknowledge that the listed impacts are applicable to the defined phases of this	Agreed
2.7	MA-O-7, MA-O-8 and MA-D-9 set out in Table 9.10	proposed project: operation and decommissioning and that impacts as may occur	
	of Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology, and in	during construction are scoped-out (see our Written Representation, paragraph 4.9).	
	the 'Marine Archaeology' tab of Volume A4, Annex		
	5.1: Impacts Register.		



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	Historic England's Position	Position Summary
G1.14:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined,	We acknowledge that the listed impacts are applicable to the defined phases of this	Not agreed – no
2.8	where relevant, for each impact in Table 9.10 of	proposed project: operation and decommissioning and that impacts as may occur	material impact
	Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology, and in	during construction are scoped-out (see our Written Representation, paragraph 4.9).	
	the 'Marine Archaeology' tab of Volume A4, Annex	This is a matter not agreed, but no material impact in accordance with our position at	
	5.1: Impacts Register, represent the maximum project	G1.14: 2.4.	
	parameters for assessment.		
EIA – Asses	ssment Methodology		
G1.14:	The methodologies used in Section 9.10 of Volume	We acknowledge the detailing of the methodologies provided in the ES and we are	Not agreed – no
2.9	A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology are appropriate	aware that impacts as may occur during construction are scoped-out (see our Written	material impact
	for assessing potential impacts of Hornsea Four.	Representation, paragraph 4.9).	
G1.14:	The study areas identified in Section 9.5 of Volume	We agree the assessment of impacts as relevant to the defined study area.	Agreed
2.10	A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology, are appropriate.		
EIA – Base	line Environment		
G1.14:	The baseline environment has been accurately	We agree that the characterisation presented reflects the utilisation of survey data	Agreed
2.11	characterised. Sufficient primary and secondary data	available to the Applicant at the time of DCO submission and the acknowledgment	
	has been collated to define the archaeological	that there is an absence of complete geophysical survey data coverage and that	
	potential of the study area and further surveys will be	geoarchaeological assessment was not completed.	
	undertaken, as presented in commitments 166 and		
	167 (see Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments		
	Register) and secured by Condition 13(2) and		
	Condition 13(3) in Volume C1, Chapter 1: Draft DCO		
	including Draft DML		
EIA – Asses	ssment Conclusions		
G1.14:	The conclusions of the assessment of impacts for	The Applicant has listed impacts as applicable to the defined phases of this proposed	Not agreed – no
2.12	construction, operation and decommissioning	project: operation and decommissioning and that impacts as may occur during	material impact
	presented are agreed and consistent with the agreed	construction are scoped-out (see our Written Representation, paragraph 4.9).	
	assessment methodologies.		
G1.14:	The conclusions of the assessment of cumulative	The agree conclusions offered reflect the defined phases of this proposed project:	Agreed
2.13	impacts as described in Table 9.16 of Volume A2,	construction, operation and decommissioning.	
	Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology are agreed.		
Draft DCO) / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	Historic England's Position	Position Summary
G1.14:	The Outline Marine WSI is secured under Part 2,	We are aware that in Scheduled 11 and 12 Condition 13(2)(g) specific reference is	Agreed
2.14	Condition 13(2) and 13(3) of DCO Schedules 11 and	made to The Crown Estate 'Offshore Renewables Protocol for Reporting	
	12. Historic England agree the wording of these	Archaeological Discoveries' which we understand that The Crown Estate is no longer	
	conditions are appropriate and adequate.	sponsoring. We agree with the text amendment made to these schedules as this	
		publication remains applicable guidance as submitted by the Applicant in the updated	
		Volume C1, Chapter 1: Draft DCO including Draft DML submitted at Deadline 5.	
G1.14:	The wording of the following requirements and	We agree the following matters:	Agreed
2.15	conditions pertaining to marine archaeology are	1. The 'design plan' makes reference to the provision of archaeological exclusion zone	
	appropriate and adequate:	(AEZ) data.	
	 Part 2 - Condition 13(1(a) of DCO Schedules 11 and 	2. The Construction Method Statement (CMS) makes reference to post-consent and	
	12 with reference to a Design Plan;	pre-construction archaeological evaluation.	
	 Part 2 - Condition 13(1(c) of DCO Schedules 11 and 		
	12 with reference to a Construction Method		
	Statement.		
G1.14:	Historic England agree that the Hornsea Four Outline	We agree the process set out for archaeological work to be supported as described by	Agreed
2.16	Marine WSI (F2.4) provides appropriate mechanisms to	the Applicant.	
	ensure effective archaeological work is supported		
	through a phased approach, as presented in		
	commitments 166 and 167 (see Volume A4, Annex		
	5.2: Commitments Register) and secured by Condition		
	13(2) and Condition 13(3) in Volume C1, Chapter 1:		
	Draft DCO including Draft DML.		
G1.14:	The Applicants approach to the archaeological	We agree the format for a watching brief is described within the Outline Marine WSI	Agreed
2.17	watching brief as described in Hornsea Four Outline	(paragraph 7.5.1.8).	
	Marine WSI (F2.4) is appropriate.		
G1.14:	The timescales for submission of the Method	We acknowledge the Outline Marine WSI in Table 8 (Planned site-specific surveys) sets	Agreed
2.18	Statement to the Archaeological Curator and wider	out a future programme of surveys up to and including Summer 2026. We appreciate	
	reporting as described in the Hornsea Four Outline	that this timetable is likely to be amended. We accept the statement made in Outline	
	Marine WSI (F2.4) are appropriate.	Marine WSI paragraph 6.3.1.1 regarding a timeframe in which return of comments are	
		expected.	